

IFAS Assessment of Non-Native Plants in Florida's Natural Areas														
Results August 2, 2001														
	<u>Impacts</u>			<u>Potential</u>			<u>Management</u>	<u>Economic Value</u>	<u>Conclusions</u>					
	North	Central	South	North	Central	South	All Zones	All Zones	No	Avoid +RB	Avoid +re 2	Caution +re 2	OK +re 10	
Asparagus densiflorus	L	L	L	H	H	H	L	H				N, C, S		
index score	{0}	0	0	H	H	H	2.5	17						
# of experts / literature	lit	7	4	1/lit	lit	lit	3	lit						
	III-c													
Bauhinia variegata	L	(L)	H	H	H	H	L	H		S, C		N		
index score	{~}	~	36	H	H	H	8	10						
# of experts / literature	lit	2	5	1/lit	lit	lit	2/lit	lit						
	III-c	Section C												
Begonia cucullata	L	M	M	H	H	H	L	H		C*, S*		N		
index score	0	12	{12}	H	H	H	7.5	10						
# of experts / literature	4	4	2/lit	1/lit	1/lit	lit	4	lit						
			III-c											
	* Conclusions Footnote 1 to Section D and IPWG													
Brassica rapa	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					N,C,S	
index score														
# of experts / literature														
Cupaniopsis anacardiodes	VH	VH	H	H	H	H	H	L		N, C, S				
index score	{46.5}	46.5	30	H	H	H	26	No						
# of experts / literature	lit	3/lit	6/lit	lit	lit	lit	3	lit						
	III-c													
Eugenia uniflora	L	L	M	L	H	H	L	H		S*		C	N	
index score	0	8	18	L	H	H	10.5	17						
# of experts / literature	lit	3	5	lit	lit	lit	3	lit						
	* Conclusions Footnote 1 to Section D and IPWG													
Notes:	L = Low M = Medium H = High VH = Very High						<i>Italics = Prohibited plants</i>							
	n/a = No documented evidence of invasion in natural areas in Florida.										+re 10 = Reassess in 10 years			
	Shaded areas = plants not present in zone						~ and () = Insufficient evidence to validate answer					+RB = Needs formal Risk-Benefit analysis		
	{ } = Result calculated using Ecological Impact score from adjacent zone using Section III-c										+re = Fill data-gaps, reassess in 2 years			
	Section C = Conclusion based on "Yes" responses to C1 and C2						Section C = Response to C1 or C2 was "No"							
	<u>Impacts</u>			<u>Potential</u>			<u>Management</u>	<u>Economic Value</u>	<u>Conclusions</u>					
	North	Central	South	North	Central	South	All Zones	All Zones	No	Avoid +RB	Avoid +re 2	Caution +re 2	OK +re 10	
Ficus microcarpa	L	H	H	H	H	H	H	H		C, S			N	
index score	0	{36}	36	H	H	H	28	7						
# of experts / literature	lit	4/lit	7	1/lit	2/lit	lit	3	lit						

	III-c													
<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	L	N, C, S					
index score	31	31	31	H	H	H	36	No						
# of experts / literature	4/lit	4/lit	4/lit	lit	lit	lit	4/lit	lit						
(not VH because <u>not</u> x 1.5 for wide habitat range)														
<i>Lantana camara</i>	L	VH	VH	H	H	H	H	L / H?	C, S			N		
index score	0	42	42	H	H	H	25	No - 17?						
# of experts / literature	3	6/lit	5/lit	lit	lit	lit	6	lit (?)						
Section C														
<i>Ligustrum sinense</i>	VH	L	L	H	H	H	H	H	N, C			S		
index score	46.5	0	{0}	H	H	H	25	17						
# of experts / literature	7	3	2/lit	lit	lit	lit	5	lit						
Section C III-c														
<i>Lygodium microphyllum</i>	L	H	VH	L	H	H	H	L	C, S			N		
index score	0	40.5	46.5	L	H	H	41	No						
# of experts / literature	3/lit	7	5	5	lit	lit	9	lit						
Conclusions Footnote 3														
<i>Macfadyena unguis-cati</i>	L	L	L	H	H	H	H	H				N, C, S		
index score	{5}	5	0	H	H	H	15	10						
# of experts / literature	lit	3	3	lit	lit	lit	4/lit	lit						
III-c														
<i>Manilkara zapota</i>	L	H	H	L	H	H	H	H	C, S			N		
index score	0	{36}	36	L	H	H	16	10						
# of experts / literature	lit	lit	5	1/lit	lit	lit	3	lit						
III-c														
Conclusions Footnote 3														
		Impacts			Potential			Management	Economic Value		Conclusions			
	North	Central	South	North	Central	South	All Zones	All Zones	No	Avoid +RB	Avoid +re 2	Caution +re 2	OK +re 10	
<i>Melia azedarach</i>	M	M	L	H	H	H	H	H		N*, C*		S		
index score	18.75	18.75	0	H	H	H	15	10						
# of experts / literature	4/lit	7/lit	4	lit	lit	lit	6	lit						
* Conclusions Footnote 1 to Section D and IPWG														
<i>Nandina domestica</i>	H	H	L	H	H	H	H	H	N, C			S		
index score	36	{36}	0	H	H	H	25	17						
# of experts / literature	4	lit	lit	lit	lit	1/lit	5	lit						

